



CONFIGURATION-INTERACTION CALCULATIONS OF THE UNITARY FERMI GAS: CONVERGENCE, DENSITIES, AND ALL THAT

N_{model}

Calvin Johnson, San Diego State University Hai Ah Nam, SDSU Erich Ormand, Lawrence Livermore + Alhassid, Bertsch, Fang, Fujii....



The "Bertsch Problem": Cold gas of atoms with infinite scattering length in an external harmonic trap

Proposed test bed for many-body methods; non-perturbative CI: Diagonalize Hamiltonian in a basis of Slater determinants with h.o. single-particle states

Truncation in relative frame

Lee-Suzuki Effective interaction





Two kinds of truncations in lab frame

"Orbital truncation" All particles can be excited up to N_{max} orbit

"Energy truncation" Truncated based upon summed N_{excite} energy











Density profiles computed from 1-body density matrices



NB: discrepancy not as bad for A = 3





Scale of "naïve" basis defined by external trap incommensurate with mean field....



In any h.o. basis, we can define a (nondiagonal) harmonic trap with hw = 1 by $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{B} \overrightarrow{P} + B \overrightarrow{X} \right)$











REDSTICK: CI-solver with 3-body forces (With 2-body forces can do 400-500M states on single processor) H. Nam improved efficiency of application of 3-body Hamiltonian in REDSTICK * speed-up by factor 3-4 * makes calculations with 5-50M basis states practical * Now load-balance limited This summer : ⁹Be, 4 hbw Gamow-Teller transition 10 in ⁹Be at 4, 6hw Effective single-particle 5/2spectrum in ^{15,17}O G.S. binding energy (4hw)

Exp	-58.16
2Body	-54.80
3Body	-59.82







Work Plan Year 2.5 (rest of 2008)

*UNEDF-funded postdoc - Plamen Krastev - starts next week.

Continue convergence study for UFG
 ** Determine whether UFG a good model for the nucleus.
 → Look at finite-range, ∞-scatt length gas (+J5).

* Detailed study of incommensurate basis for UFG
* Study incommensurate basis for nucleus

* Generalize REDSTICK density matrix routines to stand-alone
* Generalize density matrix routines to spectroscopic factors

JS = Joshua Staker, Physics MS student





Work Plan Year 2.5-3.0 (2008/9)

Improvements to REDSTICK (P. Krastev, new postdoc) * Improve load-balance for both 2-body / 3-body routines * Distribute 3-body input data (~3-20 GB) across nodes

** Requirements for 8hw ⁹Be, 6hw ¹²C w/3-body interaction "only" 50M basis states.. But.... Many-body Hamiltonian has about 10¹² nonzero m.e.s
= ~ 5-20 TB storage (if one uses MFD code) or ~5-10,000 cores Our on-the-fly code should do this on ~500-1,000 cores





<u>Work Plan Year 3</u> * Mean-field basis for Lee-Suzuki transformation for nucleus (in collaboration with Livermore)

THE **BIG GOAL**:

Push 3-body by another factor of 10: 500M basis states: = 10hw ⁹Be, 8hw ¹²C requires about 50x as much memory **This is truly a challenging computational problem!**

* Further improve distribution of "jumps" (decomposition of the Action of the Hamiltonian)

- -- detailed load-balancing
- * Improved diagonalization: "thick-restart" Lanczos, PARPACK, etc.





Team REDSTICK

Erich Ormand, Livermore Calvin Johnson, SDSU

Hai Ah Nam, SDSU (PhD student, Computational Science) Supported by Fellowship from Livermore

Plamen Krastev, SDSU (new UNEDF-funded postdoc)*

Joshua Staker, SDSU (MS student, supported on DOE grant)

*first time UNEDF funded personnel will work on this project